



\$~OS-17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 176/2021 & I.A. 4229/2021**

PRITPAL SINGH CHANNA

& ANR.

... Plaintiffs

Through Mr.Dinesh Agnani, Sr. Adv. with
Mr.Ashish Upadhyay and
Mr.Siddarth Kaushik, Advs.

versus

LALIT SUMAN & ORS.

... Defendants

Through

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

% **19.03.2021**

CS(OS) 176/2021

Let the plaint be registered as suit.

Issue summons to the defendants by speed post, e-mail and courier,
returnable for 16.07.2021.

I.A. 4229/2021

1. This is an application filed by the plaintiff seeking an ex parte injunction to restrain the defendants, their attorney, servant, assignee etc. from playing and circulating defamatory videos on their News Paper namely Dainik India Darpan, You Tube Channel, Facebook Page of Defendant No.1, related magazines of Dainik India Darpan and on all other platforms of the plaintiffs, their father, children and other family members.

2. It is the case of the plaintiffs that the defendants have played and circulated videos on the YouTube channel of Dainik India Darpan as well as



on its Facebook page stating that the father of the plaintiffs, namely, Sant Buchi Jee @ Sant Gurbaksh Singh Channa was a bad character and one of the top 10 criminals of Delhi. It is also stated in the said video that the father of the plaintiff illegally occupied the PWD land and the plaintiffs are running Sant Buchi Jee Dham, Rattan Sahafakhana from the said place. It is further stated that the video has been prepared and circulated by the defendants to impute the reputation of the plaintiffs and their father Sant Buchi Jee @ Sant Gurbaksh Singh Channa. It is reiterated that plaintiffs believe that the father of the plaintiff is a saint and the same is the belief of the followers of Sant Buchi Jee Dham, Rattan Sahafakhana. The defendants in their videos termed the sacred ceremony performed by the plaintiffs as Tantra Mantra. Hence the present suit for injunction and damages.

3. Plaintiffs have made out a prima facie case. Till the next date of hearing, the current videos shall not be published by the defendants. Any further videos or programme that are sought to be published shall be published only after giving one week's notice to the plaintiffs to enable the plaintiffs to give their views on the contents of the videos / proposed publications. In case, the said videos / publications are published, the view of the plaintiffs shall also be published along with the video / publication so published.

4. Issue notice to the defendants by speed post, courier and e-mail, returnable for 16.07.2021.

5. Plaintiffs to comply with the Order 39 Rule 3 within five days.

JAYANT NATH, J.

MARCH 19, 2021/st