



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 40/2022 & CM APPL. 16714/2022**

JYOTI SHARMA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms Nidhi Mohan Parashar and Mr
Deepak Yadav, Advocates.

versus

KRISHNANAND

..... Respondent

Through: Mr Nitin Mishra, Advocate along
with respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

04.04.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Learned counsel for the appellant has drawn our attention to an affidavit dated 25.02.2023 filed by the appellant.
2. *Inter alia*, the affidavit is accompanied by a transcript of the conversation held between the parties [Annexure A (Colly)] and a print out of an image shared on the WhatsApp.
3. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the appellant that the respondent has threatened the appellant.
4. The transcript of conversation as well as the WhatsApp image does give an impression that the respondent is attempting to intimidate the appellant.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 40/2022

Page 1 of 2



5. We are concerned with this matter because it involves not only the physical well being of the appellant, but also interferes with the legal proceedings which are pending before us.
6. The Registry will ensure that the affidavit, along with the Annexure, is handed over to the concerned Station House Officer (SHO).
7. The SHO will enquire about the matter and, if necessary, will register an FIR.
8. On the next date of hearing, the concerned SHO will file a report before this Court with regard to the directions issued by us.
9. List the matter on 06.09.2024.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 4, 2024

at

Click here to check corrigendum, if any