



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 40/2022, CM APPL. 16714/2022-Delay of 334 days**
JYOTI SHARMAAppellant

Through: Ms. Nidhi Mohan Parashar with Mr.
Anand C., Advocates

versus

KRISHNANANDRespondent

Through: Respondent in person.
Mr. Aman Usman, APP for the State
with SI Prabhakaran, P.S. Dwarka
South

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

% **ORDER**
07.11.2024

1. The respondent appears in person and seeks an adjournment on account of non-availability of his counsel. The request for adjournment is not opposed by the other side.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the respondent has been continuously threatening the appellant despite directions issued by this Court, qua which the SHO, P.S. Dwarka (South) has not made a proper inquiry.
3. Having perused the Status Report filed by the SHO, P.S. Dwarka (South), we find merit in the submission of the learned counsel for the appellant and therefore direct the SHO, P.S. Dwarka (South) to conduct a



fresh inquiry into the matter in accordance with the order passed by this Court on 04.04.2024 and file a fresh Status Report within four weeks.

4. Mr. Aman Usman, learned APP who is present in Court, undertakes to convey the directions of this order to the SHO, P.S. Dwarka (South) and do the needful in compliance of the order passed today.

5. List on 12.02.2025.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2024/akr