



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 183/2024

KARAN NATH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. R.Krishnamoorthi, Advocate

versus

MINAKSHI NATH SRINIVASAN AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Amarjit Singh Chandhiok, Sr.
Advocate with Ms. Amita Sehgal,
Ms. Mamta Rajgerhia, Mr. Tanishq
Tandon and Mr. Chakshu Thukral,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

10.12.2025

RFA 183/2024 & CM APPL. 15699/2024

By way of the present regular first appeal filed under section 96 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 ('CPC'), the appellant impugns judgment and decree dated 24.01.2024 passed by the learned Additional District Judge-04, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi in suit bearing CS No.754/2017.

2. After briefly hearing Mr. R. Krishnaamorthi, learned counsel and Mr. A.S. Chandhiok, learned senior counsel appearing for the respective parties, it transpires that the respondents are challenging the



maintainability of the appeal at the hands of the appellant submitting that he was not the son of deceased Kailash Nath; and therefore does not fall within the definition of 'legal representative' under section 2(11) of the CPC.

3. Therefore, before issuing notice on the appeal, counsel for the parties are directed to file brief synopses of their respective submissions, alongwith a list of judicial precedents they seek to rely upon, not exceeding 03 pages, before the next date; with copies to the opposing counsel.
4. Re-notify on 02nd March 2026 before the learned Joint-Registrar.
5. List before court thereafter.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

DECEMBER 10, 2025

SS