



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 75/2024 & CM APPL. 15734/2024**

MANISHA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

PUSHPA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, SC for MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

07.01.2026

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. None appears on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Notice could not be issued to the respondent on account of non-filing of the process fee.
4. In the interest of justice adverse orders are deferred.
5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the MCD submits that affidavit in terms of order dated 14.03.2024 and 08.11.2024 could not be filed as they were unable to trace the details of the employee namely Mr. Ashok Kumar. Since the issue is whether the subject property was owned by the MCD and was allotted to one of its employees, it is appropriate that the MCD file an affidavit giving the details of the allotment of the subject flat no. 345 Block-18, Jahangirpuri, Delhi-110033, from the period 2020 till 2024. Let the same be done before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 21.04.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

JANUARY 7, 2026/kr/ah