



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 222/2025**
PACHRANGA INTERNATIONAL INC.Plaintiff
Through: Mr. Anirudh Bakhru, Mr. Kunal
Khanna & Mr. Krtin Bhasin,
Advocates.

Versus

VISHISHT MALIK TRADING AS M/S PACHRANGA FOOD
INDUSTRIESDefendant
Through: Mr. Rishub Kapoor, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

% **28.11.2025**

I.A. 29791/2025

1. This is an Application under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for wilful and continued disobedience of the order dated 11.03.2025 by the Defendant / Contemnor.
2. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff submits that the Defendant / Contemnor has committed wilful, deliberate and continued disobedience of the *ex-parte ad-interim* injunction granted *vide* order dated 11.03.2025.
3. *Vide* order dated 11.03.2025, this Court had restrained the Defendant / Contemnor from manufacturing, selling, offering for sale or otherwise dealing in any manner whatsoever with goods bearing the Plaintiff's registered design, copyright and trademarks or any other design, copyright or trademarks deceptively similar thereto.
4. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff has submitted that despite the injunction granted *vide* order dated 11.03.2025, the Defendant / Contemnor has continued to violate the said order by manufacturing, marketing and

CS(COMM) 222/2025

Page 1 of 4



selling the products bearing an identical and / or deceptively similar label, which is in violation of the copyright of the Plaintiff as recognized by this Court in Paragraph No. 32 of the order dated 11.03.2025.

5. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff has relied upon the following labels, which are being used by the Defendant / Contemnor in violation of the Plaintiff's copyright:





6. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff has also submitted that although the Defendant / Contemnor was directed to remove all online references of the Mark “PACHRANGA”, the Defendant / Contemnor has continued to display and sell the products with the Mark PACHRANGA” on India Mart having URL, <https://www.indiamart.com/pachranga-food-industries/>.

7. Issue Notice. The learned Counsel for the Defendant / Contemnor accepts Notice.

8. The learned Counsel for the Defendant / Contemnor appearing on advance service submitted that the Defendant / Contemnor undertakes to



change the trade dress of label (“**Impugned Label**”) to not have deceptive similarity to the Plaintiff’s label for the same product by changing the colour of the label as well as the font of the words “Mixed Pickle” written on the Impugned Label.

9. The learned Counsel for the Defendant / Contemnor seeks one weeks’ time to submit a revised label by changing the colour as well as the font appearing on the Impugned Label.

10. The learned Counsel for the Defendant / Contemnor submits that as regards the URLs mentioned in this Application, the same is not available as on 27.11.2025.



11. The learned Counsel for the Defendant / Contemnor shall file an Affidavit stating that the said URL is no longer available and has been taken down within a period of one week along with a copy of the revised Label as directed above.
12. List on 10.12.2025, on the date already fixed.

TEJAS KARIA, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2025/ 'A'