



\$~23

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 225/2019**

**MADHU MITTAL**

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kalpana, Mr. Madhaw Saraswat,  
Advocate(DHCLSC).

versus

**STATE ( NCT OF DELHI) & ORS**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the State  
along with Mr. Daljeet Singh,  
Advocate & WSI Himika, PS: sultan  
Pur.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL**

**ORDER**

%

**27.11.2024**

1. Request for adjournment is made on behalf of Ms. Gayatri Puri, Advocate, nominated by DHCLSC, for the petitioner.
2. Counsel for the respondents further points out that previously the adjournment was also taken on this account and the acquittal of the respondent was by the Trial Court, which was subsequently confirmed by the Appellate Court by well-reasoned order.
3. Counsel for parties will file a two-page note of arguments, synopsisizing their contentions, with reference to relevant PDF page numbers of the Court file, along with a list of citations, on which they wish to reply, at least a week before the next date of hearing, after exchanging copies *inter*



se counsel.

4. Re-notify on 27<sup>th</sup> March 2025.
5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

**ANISH DAYAL, J**

**NOVEMBER 27, 2024/ak/tk**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*