



\$~24 & 25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 187/2023**

THE UNITED INDIA INSURANCEAppellant

Through: Mr. Ravi Sabharwal, Adv.

versus

RINKU & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Chaurihaa, Adv.

(25)

+ **MAC.APP. 189/2023**

THE UNITED INDIA INSURANCEAppellant

Through: Mr. Ravi Sabharwal, Adv.

versus

SH PRADEEP & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Chaurihaa, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

02.02.2026

%

1. Counsel for appellant/Insurance Company contends that compensation has been computed on the basis of minimum wages of non-matriculate applicable in Delhi in case of both the injured claimants, whereas both the claimants were resident of Sonapat, Haryana and were working in Haryana itself.

2. In relation to injured/claimant in **MAC.APP. 187/2023**, counsel for appellant has pointed out to the FIR, where a statement was made by the



injured that he was working in Sonapat, Haryana.

3. However, he seeks some more time to place the facts relating to the injured/claimant in **MAC.APP. 189/2023**.

4. Counsel for respondent, however, states that since the accident happened in Delhi, minimum wages of Delhi would be applicable.

5. Both the counsels seek some time to file decisions which support their respective arguments.

6. Counsels for parties will file their respective note of arguments not exceeding *three pages*, synopsisizing their contentions along with *list of citations*, which they wish to rely upon, cross-referencing *PDF pages* of the Court File. The same will be exchanged *inter se* counsels *at least three days* prior to the next date of hearing.

7. List on 24th March 2026.

8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

FEBRUARY 2, 2026/MK/sp