



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 3193/2021**
BHAMTI SHARMAPetitioner

Through Mr. Ashu Kr. Sharma, Advocate
versus

TINU PUBLIC SCHOOL, THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL & ORS.
.....Respondents

Through Mr. Shamik Saha, Advocate for R-1
& 2
Mr. Kaushal Kait, proxy counsel for
R-3

CORAM:
SH. KRISHAN KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER
% **21.02.2022**

The hearing has been conducted through video conferencing.

CM APPL. 9293/2022 & CM APPL. 9294/2022

Notice to the non-applicants. Learned counsels for the petitioner and respondent nos. 3 accept notice and state that they do not have any objection to these applications being allowed.

By CM APPL. 9293/2022, respondent nos. 1 & 2 seek to take on record the reply filed by them along with vakalatnama and vide CM APPL.9294/2022, exemption from filing attested affidavits.

For the reasons stated in these applications as also no objection by the opposite parties, CM APPL. 9293/2022 is allowed and reply filed by respondent nos. 1 & 2 to the writ petition is ordered to be taken on record. CM APPL. 9294/2022 is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Applications stand disposed of.



W.P.(C) 3193/2021

Mr. Sharma states that rejoinder to the counter affidavit of respondent nos.1 & 2 shall be filed within two weeks from today. Let that be done.

List on 27.04.2022, the date already fixed.

REGISTRAR

FEBRUARY 21, 2022/sk