



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 93/2026 & CM APPL. 19535-19537/2026**

MUKESH KUMARPetitioner

Through: Mr. Vidur Kamra, Adv.

versus

RASHID HASSANRespondent

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **27.03.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 19536/2026

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application is disposed of.

CM APPL. 19537/2026

3. The present application under Section 151 of the CPC seeks condonation of delay of 29 days in refilling the petition.

4. In view of the averments made, the application is allowed.

5. The delay of 29 days in re-filing the petition is condoned and the application is disposed of.

RC.REV. 93/2026 & CM APPL. 19535/2026

6. The present petition under Section 25B(8) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958, seeks the following prayers:-



“Set aside the impugned Judgment dated 21.11.2025 passed by the Court of Ld. Sh. Rupinder Singh Dhiman, ACJ-CUM-CCJ-CUM-ARC Patiala House Court, Delhi [“Ld. ARC”];
Any other relief which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

7. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that reasonable time may be given to the latter to vacate the subject premises.
8. On the petitioner taking necessary steps, issue notice to the respondent, through all permissible modes, including through counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent before the learned ARC on the aforesaid limited extent, returnable for the next date of hearing.
9. Renotify on 05.05.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MARCH 27, 2026/nk