



\$~82

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ W.P.(CRL) 964/2026  
JAVED ALI .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rakshit Munjal, Advocate.

versus

STATE (GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI) .....Respondent  
Through: Ms. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel for  
the State.  
Insp. Arvind Kumar, P.S. Mandir  
Marg.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI**

**ORDER**

%

**25.03.2026**

Issue notice.

2. Mr. Sanjay Lao, learned Standing Counsel for the State appears for the State on advance copy; accepts notice; and seeks time to file status report.
3. Let status report be filed before the next date; with copy to the opposing counsel.
4. Let updated nominal roll be requisitioned from the Jail Superintendent for the next date.
5. In view of the mandate of the Supreme Court in ***Jagjeet Singh & Ors. vs. Ashish Mishra @ Monu & Anr.***,<sup>1</sup> the complainant/victim is entitled to be heard in the present proceedings. Accordingly, let intimation be sent by the Investigating Officer to the

---

<sup>1</sup> (2022) 9 SCC 321 at paras 22 & 23



complainant/victim informing them that they are entitled to be heard in the present proceedings, for which they may remain present (either in-person or *via* video-conferencing) or be represented on the next date.

6. Let proof of service of intimation be placed on record before the next date.
7. Re-notify on 20<sup>th</sup> May 2026.

**ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J**

**MARCH 25, 2026**  
*V.Rawat*