



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1780/2019**

SURENDER KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Creesha Shastri, Adv for LR nos.
(a & b) of deceased petitioner with LR
no. (b) of petitioner in person.
Mr. Anilendra Pandey, Adv. for LR
no. (c) of deceased petitioner with LR
(c) of petitioner in person.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORSRespondent

Through: Mr. Akhil Mittal with Ms. Riddhi Jain
Ms. Shayna Pattanayak, Adv for R-3
Om Prakash, Ms. Swati Mishra,
Mr.Chandresh Pratap, Mr. Prince
Yadav, Mr. Nikhil Kumar, Advs for
R-2

CORAM: SH. PAWAN KUMAR KALRA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **16.04.2026**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through hybrid mode.

**CM APPL. 28773/2025 (u/O 22 Rule 3 CPC) & CM APPL. 28774/2025
(condonation of delay)**

Counsel for respondent no.1 had already submitted that he has no objection to the application being allowed.

Counsel for respondent no.3 seeks some time to take instructions and file reply to the above applications. Let her do so within two weeks with advance copy to counsel for the applicant. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

Let reply to the applications, if any, be filed by other non-applicants within two weeks with advance copy to counsel for the applicant. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter. In case the reply is not



filed within two weeks, the applications shall be decided on the pleadings on record.

List on 26.05.2026.

REGISTRAR

APRIL 16, 2026/sk