



\$~26

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 251/2026

MEENU JAIN

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vierat K. Anand, Mr. Kumar Shashank, Mr. Vikalp Singh, Mr. Harish C. Nadda, Ms. R. Pandita and Ms. Shaimika Agrawal, Advocates.

versus

PRADEEP GUPTA & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Shashank Garg, Sr. Advocate along with Mr. Nabeen N. Ali Kabli and Mr. Mayank Chaturvedi, Advocates for Jagson (Defendant No.3/Petitioner in [CCP(O) 76/2026].

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **23.07.2026**

IA No.18245/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CCP(O) 76/2026 (against the contemnors for wilful disobedience of the order dated 25.03.2026)

3. Issue notice.
4. Learned counsel, as aforesaid, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent no.1 (Meenu Jain).
5. Issue notice to the respondent no.2 (Smt. Seema Bansal), through all permissible modes, including electronically.
6. Let reply be filed within a period of four weeks from today. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing.
7. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner (defendant no.3 in the



present suit) points out that the order dated 25.03.2026, passed in the present proceedings, clearly provides that the said interim order shall “*not come in the way of running the business of defendant No.3 Company in accordance with law*”.

8. It is submitted that various communications addressed by the respondent/s herein, to the banks have the effect of obstructing / jeopardizing the running the business of the petitioner Company.

9. Learned counsel for the respondent no.1 submits that no further communication shall be sent by the said respondent to the bankers *qua* the petitioner Company. The respondent no. 2 is also restrained from sending any such communication, till the next date of hearing.

10. List on 30.11.2026.

SACHIN DATTA, J

JULY 23, 2026/r