



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ITA 245/2026 CM APPL. 18574/2026**

PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX 4 NEW DELHI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Shlok Chandra, SSC with Ms.
Naincy Jain, JSC, Ms. Madhavi
Shukla, JSC & Mr. Udit Dad, Adv.

versus

MR GAURAV SINGHAL

.....Respondent

Through: *Nemo.*

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

%

24.03.2026

CM APPL. 18573/2026 [Exemption]

1. Allowed. Subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

ITA 245/2026 & CM APPL. 18574/2026

3. The following substantial questions of law arise out of the order dated 20.08.2025 passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, 'F' Bench, New Delhi (*hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal'*), in ITA No. 3835/Del/2019 for the Assessment Year 2015-16:

(i) *Whether in the facts and circumstances of the case, the assessee having taken part in the proceedings before the Assessing Officer namely I.T.O. Ward 17(4), New Delhi without resisting the jurisdiction, and having suffered the assessment order can*



subsequently challenge the jurisdiction of said officer by contending that the notice was issued to him by I.T.O. Ward 40(1), New Delhi?

(ii) Whether the order of the Tribunal setting aside the assessment order on the ground of jurisdiction is legally correct in face of the mandate of Section 124 (3) of the Income Tax Act, 1961?

4. Admit.

5. Issue notice to the respondent through all permissible modes, returnable on 07.07.2026.

DINESH MEHTA, J.

VINOD KUMAR, J.

MARCH 24, 2026/sr