



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 189/2019**

STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Shubhi Gupta, APP for State with
SI Roopa, PS GTB Enclave.

versus

SAHIL@SALIM & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Medhanshu Tripathy, Mr. Tushar
Tokas and Mr. Satish Rana,
Advocates for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

07.11.2024

1. APP for the State points out to the statements of the prosecutrix, recorded under Sections 161 Cr.P.C. and 164 Cr.P.C., to assert that they were extremely detailed in their narration and specifics (including dates & time). The said is also reflected in para 1-2 of the impugned order.
2. However, the impugned order discharges the respondents, on the basis, that on 27th September 2015, the victim came to the police station and stated that she had filed a written complaint after taking advice from the lawyer, who had forced her to make a false statement and falsely implicate the accused. The said has been stated in para 4 and para 22 of the impugned order.
3. APP for the State, as well as, counsel for the respondents, shall place their submissions, through a note, before the next date, relating to other



aspects of the record.

4. Counsel for the respondent states that the petitioner was assaulted by her father and to cover it up, this false allegation was made. However, nothing on the record has been pointed out yet, which could be dispositive of the same.

5. Accordingly, renotify on 19th March, 2025.

6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2024

DV/kp