



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 109/2024 & I.As. 2719/2024, 5182/2024**

SEQUOIA CAPITAL OPERATIONS LLC & ORS. Plaintiffs

Through: Ms. Shwetasree Majumder, Ms. Priya Adlakha, Mr. A. Anand and Mr. Shiv Mehtora, Advocates for P-1.
Mr. Yatinder Garg, Mr. Akshay Maloo and Mr. Rajit Ghosh, Advocates for P-2 & 3.

versus

SEENE TRADER & ORS Defendants

Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Zubin Singh, Advocate for DOT & MEITY.
Mr. Madhav Khosla and Ms. Moha Paranjje, Advocates for D-3.
Mr. Tejas Karia, Ms. Swati Agarwal, Mr. Shashank Mishra, Mr. Mohit Singh, Ms. Akshi Rastogi, Mr. Parv Kaushik, Ms. Vedika Rathore, Ms. Rithika Mathur and Ms. Ritika Bansal, Advocates for D-10.
Mr. Vipin Bhaskar, Advocate for D-8.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

% **13.05.2024**

I.A. 10850/2024 and I.A. 10870/2024 (under Order XXXIX Rule 2A of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908)

1. In order dated 5th February, 2024, the following directions, *inter alia*, were issued by this Court:

“18.7 Defendant No. 4, Dynadot LLC, domain name registrar for the domain senee.in, and Defendant No. 5 Gname.com Pte. Ltd., domain name registrar for the domain names senee.org and senee.net shall suspend the



said domain names and maintain status quo regarding the ownership of the respective domain names. They shall further file in a sealed cover the necessary information pertaining to the identity of the registrants of the aforesaid domain names, as is available with them, within a period of four weeks from today. In this regard, Defendant No. 6, NIXI, is directed to pass necessary directions to Defendants No. 4 and 5 to ensure compliance of the aforementioned directions.”

2. Ms. Shwetasree Majumder, counsel for Plaintiffs, states that while the impugned domain names have been disabled, Defendants No 4 and 5 have not filed the necessary information pertaining to the identity of the registrants of the said domain names. It is also pointed out that the said Defendants have not entered appearance before this Court despite service, as noted in order dated 5th April, 2024.

3. It is further noted that the Plaintiffs have stated in their application [I.A. 10870/2024] that Defendant No. 5 had sent an email dated 18th March, 2024 to the Plaintiffs informing them that although they had disabled the impugned domain names, they were unable to disclose the registrant's information/ data “*without the request of the central authority and/or various competent authorities in Singapore under Personal Data Protection Act 2013 (“PDPA”) and Temporary Specification for gTLD Registration Data*”.

4. Issue notice to Defendant No. 4 (Dynadot LLC) and Defendant No. 5 (Gname.com Pte. Ltd.), by all permissible modes, upon filing of process fee, returnable on 10th July, 2024. Reply, if any, be filed within four weeks from date of service. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

5. Defendants No. 4 and 5 are reminded to comply with the directions issued on 5th February, 2024. Further, in view of the fact that Defendant No.



4 has not responded to the Plaintiff's communications, they are directed to provide the KYC details of the registrants of the impugned domain names 'senec.in', to the counsel for Plaintiff before the next date of hearing. It is clarified that the said information shall be kept confidential by the Plaintiff and their counsel, and shall only be utilised for the purposes of impleading the said registrants and for the purposes of investigation only.

6. Renotify on 10th July, 2024.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

MAY 13, 2024/as