



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 109/2024 & I.A. 5182/2024 I.A. 10870/2024**

SEQUOIA CAPITAL OPERATIONS LLC & ORS.Plaintiffs
Through: **Ms. Priya Adlakha, Ms. Sucharu Garg**
and Ms. Shilpi Sinha, Advocates
versus

SEENE TRADER & ORSDefendants
Through: **Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr.**
Zubin Singh, Mr. Akash Mishra and
Ms. Vidhi Gupta, Advocates for D-7
& 8/DOI

Ms. Swati Agarwal, Mr. Shashank
Mishra, Ms. Rithika Mathur and Ms.
Akshi Rastogi, Advocates for D-10

Mr. Umakant Kataria, Advocate for
D-11

Mr. Rahul Kumar Sharma, Govt.
Pleader for DOT and MEITY

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **26.09.2025**

I.A. 10870/2024(application on behalf of plaintiff no. 1 against defendant
no. 5 under Order XXXIX Rule 2A CPC)

1. Learned counsel for the applicant/plaintiff states that defendant no. 5/
Gname.com Pte. Ltd. has not complied with the injunction order dated
05.02.2024.

1.1 She states that this particular DNR has also not complied with the
injunction orders passed by this Court in CS(COMM) 69/2024.

1.2 She states that vide order dated 26.08.2025, this Court in CS(COMM)



69/2024 has issued directions to Department of Telecommunications [‘DOT’] and Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology [‘MEITY’] to issue notification to ISPs for blocking the domain name of Gname.com Pte. Ltd.

She states however on a test check carried out by the plaintiff’s counsel; the said domain name is still accessible.

2. Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC submits that in compliance of the order dated 26.08.2025 passed in CS (COMM) 69/2024, DOT has already issued notifications dated 02.09.2025 to all the ISPs.

3. In these facts, plaintiff is directed to communicate to Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC the ISPs which were used by plaintiff’s counsels to test check the accessibility of the domain name of defendant no. 5 within three (3) days.

4. Ms. Nidhi Raman, Advocate states that she will take appropriate steps to verify the submission of the plaintiff and direct DOT to issue necessary orders to the defaulting ISPs.

5. List on **02.03.2026**.

I.A. 5182/2024(application under Order I Rule 10 CPC seeking impleadment of WhatsApp)

6. Learned counsel for the plaintiff states that this application may be disposed of in view of the compliance effected by defendant no. 10/WhatsApp.

7. In view of the aforesaid, the said application stands disposed of.

CS(COMM) 109/2024

Deletion of defendant nos. 6, 10 and 11

8. Learned counsel for defendant nos. 6 and 10 seek their deletion from



the array of parties in view of the compliances effected upon by the said defendants.

9. Learned counsel for the plaintiff has no objection.

10. In view of the aforesaid, the defendant nos. 6 and 10 are deleted from the array of parties.

11. Learned counsel for defendant no. 11 states that he as well complied with the directions and supplied the bank account statements/documents to the plaintiff. He prays for his deletion.

Learned counsel for the plaintiff confirms the receipt of the said documents. She states that it runs into 1800 pages.

12. Defendant no. 11 is directed to place on record; in an electronic form, the bank statement/documents supplied to the plaintiff as per the Rule 24 of Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 and thereafter, defendant no. 11 shall stand deleted from the array of parties.

13. Plaintiff is directed to file an amended memo of parties within two (2) weeks.

14. Learned counsel for the plaintiff states that the only contesting defendant is defendant no. 12 and he has been served recently on 08.09.2025.

15. List before the learned Joint Registrar (J) on **19.11.2025** for completion of pleadings vis-à-vis defendant no. 12.

16. List before Court for case management hearing on **02.03.2026**.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J
SEPTEMBER 26, 2025/mt/MG