



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAC.APP. 207/2026**

RAJ KUMARI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Tarveen Singh Nanda, DHCLSC
with the Appellant, Raj Kumari,
Advocates.

versus

GURJIT SINGH AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

% **24.03.2026**

CM APPL. 18241/2026(Condonation of delay)

1. This application has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 19 days in filing the appeal.
2. For the reasons, as stated in the said application, the same is allowed.
3. Application stands disposed of, accordingly.

CM APPL. 18244/2026 (Condonation of delay)

1. This application has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 3 days in re-filing the appeal.
2. For the reasons, as stated in the said application, the same is allowed.
3. Application stands disposed of, accordingly.

CM APPL. 18242/2026 & CM APPL. 18243/2026 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.



MAC.APP. 207/2026

1. The present appeal has been filed by the appellant challenging the order dated 27th September 2025 passed by Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi, in MACT No. 584/2021, whereby the claim petition filed by appellant was dismissed.
2. It is stated that the accident occurred on 2nd May 2020 at about 6.30 A.M., when the appellant, who was working as a Nursing Officer at the *Cardiothoracic Sciences Centre, AIIMS, Delhi*, was travelling in a car driven by his brother. While crossing the Janpath Tolstoy Marg, Red Light, an Eicher Canter, allegedly being driven in a rash and negligent manner, collided with the vehicle, because of which appellant sustained grievous injuries.
3. The appellant subsequently underwent surgery and rod was inserted in her right leg. Despite this, the MACT did not grant any compensation and dismissed the claim petition on the ground that the accident occurred due to the negligence of the driver of the car in which the appellant was travelling.
4. *Mr. T.S. Nanda*, counsel for the appellant, contends that claim was dismissed on basis that the claimant could not establish the negligence of the offending vehicle; however, an FIR was registered on 25th May 2020 u/s 279/337, IPC, at PS Cannaught Place and in view of the criminal proceedings, MACT ought to have taken in different view.
5. Accordingly, issue notice to the respondent on steps being taken by the petitioner, through all permissible mode, including e-mail, returnable on 25th August 2026.
6. Trial Court Record be also requisitioned and placed on record. Digital copy of the same be supplied to the counsels for the parties, if so requested.



7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MARCH 24, 2026/da/bp