



\$~65

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2171/2026**

INTEGRA LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumeet Verma, Senior Advocate  
with Mr. Alishan Naqvee, Mr. Rupal  
Bhatia, Mr. Saurav Chaudhary and  
Mr. Mahinder Pratap Singh,  
Advocates

versus

BIOMEDICON SERVICES (I) PVT LTD & ANR. ....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for the  
State/R-2

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA**

**ORDER**

% **24.03.2026**

**CRL.M.A. 8962/2026 (exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**CRL.M.C. 2171/2026 & CRL.M.A. 8961/2026 (stay)**

2. Petitioner seeks quashing of Complaint Case No.550/2022 for offence under Section 409/420/384/500/120B IPC.

3. Learned Senior Counsel for petitioner has taken me through record, contending that in a purely civil dispute, merely on account of use of expressions like 'wrongful loss' and 'dishonest intention', etc., the learned trial court has taken it to be a case of cheating and summoned the petitioner. It is explained by learned Senior Counsel that till date, summons have not been served on the petitioner but it is from the co-accused persons that the petitioner came to know about the subject complaint. Further, as explained



by learned Senior Counsel for petitioner, it appears that the complainant (*respondent no. 1 herein*) was in the business of purchasing equipments from the petitioner and selling away the same to different hospitals but subsequently, when the petitioner allied with another company, the present complaint was filed. Learned Senior Counsel has also taken me through copy of plaint of the suit on same cause of action filed by the present respondent no. 1 against the petitioner to enforce the civil claims. It is contended that the colour of criminality has been given to a purely civil dispute only to arm-twist the petitioner. Further, according to the complaint, the petitioner shared certain confidential business information with the competitor of the complainant but no particulars of such information have been divulged in the subject complaint.

4. Despite advance notice, none has appeared on behalf of the respondent company.

5. In view of the aforesaid, further proceedings before the trial court are stayed till next date.

6. Learned APP for the State/respondent no. 2 accepts notice.

7. Subject to petitioner taking steps within one week, notice through all modes be issued to the respondent no. 1, returnable on 20.07.2026 in Advance List.

**GIRISH KATHPALIA, J**

**MARCH 24, 2026**

*'rs'*