



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P. (C) 4007/2023**
SHASHI SHEKHAR SHARMA

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Anand Shankar, Mr. Sachin, Ms.
Meenakshi S. Devgan and Mr. Parvez
Rahman, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondent
Through: Ms. Neha Rastogi, SPC with Mr.
Animesh Rastogi, Mr. Rajat Dubey
and Mr. Shashank Pandey, Advocates
for R-1.
Mr. Siddharth, Standing counsel for
EPFO with Mr. Harshit Manwani,
Advocate for R-2 to R-4.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER
17.12.2025

%

1. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the respondent
no.5/employer that the affidavit, as per the directions of this Court
in the order dated 10.11.2025, has been filed.

2. However, the learned counsel for respondent nos.3 and 4
submitted that the affidavit is not in compliance with the order of



this Court and that respondent no. 4 ought to produce documents revealing the basic pay and allowances paid by respondent no.5 to the petitioner during the period from 2008-2009 to 2011-2012. It is also submitted that apart from these documents no other document(s) is required for releasing the amount that remains in the suspense account of the respondents no.3 and 4.

3. The learned counsel for respondent no.5 submits that no such documents are available with them.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks a short adjournment to get necessary instructions from the petitioner, as well as to produce documents sought for.

5. List on 08.01.2026.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

DECEMBER 17, 2025/mj