



\$~41

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 4007/2023**

SHASHI SHEKHAR SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anand Shankar Jha and
Ms.Nandika Kaushik, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Neha Rastogi, Advocate for
respondent no. 1/ UOI.
Mr. Siddharth, Standing Counsel with
Mr. Prateek Goyal and Mr. Harshit
Manwani, Advocates with Mr. Umesh
Kumar, for respondents no. 2 to 4.
Mr. Kabir Hathi, Ms. Apurva U. And
Ms. Aparna Vishal, Advocates for
respondent no. 5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

%

10.11.2025

1. In compliance of the order dated 15.09.2025, the officer concerned has appeared through video conferencing.

2. Paragraph 5 of additional affidavit dated 14.09.2025 of respondents no. 2 to 4 reads thus:-

“5. Hence, it is incumbent upon the petitioner and



the employer to establish the following before the petitioner's prayer can be allowed:

- a. They must establish that the monies deposited through these challans belong to the petitioner. They can do so by proving the basic wages and the allowances paid by his employer for the financial year 2008-09 to 2011-12.*
- b. The employer must submit duly verified statutory returns along with an explanation for the delay especially because no such explanation has been submitted by the petitioner in the writ petition or the respondent no. 5- employer in its counter affidavit.*
- c. Both the employer and the employee must explain why they maintained 2 Provident fund accounts.”*

3. In the light of the aforesaid affidavit, respondent no. 5, who is the employer, shall file an affidavit explaining the details that are required, as said in paragraph 5, along with necessary documents, if any, within a period of three weeks.

4. List on 08.12.2025.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

NOVEMBER 10, 2025

kd