



\$~88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 3695/2026 & CM APPL. 17976/2026**

SHIV KUMAR YADAV & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Navin Kumar, Mr. Varun Jain,
Mr. Rohit Pal and Mr. Sunit Singh,
Advs.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENTRespondent

Through: Mr. DP Singh, ASG and Special
Counsel, Mr. Manu Mishra and Ms.
Garima Saxena, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **23.03.2026**

1. The petitioner has not taken recourse to the alternative remedy under Section 5(5) of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 ['PMLA']. The decision, therefore, in the case of *M/s Revati Cements Pvt. Ltd. vs. Union of India*,¹ would have no application.
2. Let the petitioner to avail the alternate remedy under Section 5(5) of the PMLA, and if the petitioner's grievance is not mitigated, he shall be at liberty to take appropriate recourse in accordance with law.
3. Petition stands dismissed.
4. All rights and contentions are left open.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 23, 2026/P

¹ Order dated 27.05.2024 in in W.P.(C) 13556/2019