



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2129/2026, CRL.M.A. 8794/2026

PIYUSH & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Piyush Chaudhary, Adv.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI (THROUGH SHO KARAWAL
NAGAR, DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Raghuinder Verma, APP for
the State with Mr. Dinesh Kumar
and Mr. Manoj Pant, Adv.
Mr. Dev Laxshay and Ms. Shipra
Pandey, Adv. for R-2
SI- Manish, PS: Karawal Nagar
SI- Sukhram Pal, PS: Shahdra

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

23.03.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (***BNSS***), the petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.223/2016 dated 04.07.2016 registered at PS.: Karawal Nagar under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (***IPC***) and *Section 4* Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (***DP Act***) and all proceedings emanating therefrom in view of the Mediation Settlement dated 31.10.2018 (***Annexure P3***) entered into between the petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 at Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identities.

2. Issue notice. Learned APP for the State accepts notice, and submits,



that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Mediation Settlement dated 31.10.2018, and submits that out of the total settlement amount of Rs.4,00,000/-, the petitioner no.1 has already paid her Rs.3,00,000/- and a Demand Draft being DD No.022557 (IDBI Bank, Okhla Phase-1, Behind Crowne Plaza Hotel New Dellhi-110020) dated 18.03.2026 of Rs.1,00,000/- has also been handed over to her in Court as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims. She further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by Decree of Divorce dated 16.04.2019 under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995, and she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. The petitioners and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the Investigating Officer.

5. Facts disclose that the parties have voluntarily arrived at a settlement, and thus have filed their respective affidavit(s) along with the present petition to the aforesaid effect. As such, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. Thus, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners will be an exercise in futility.



6. Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.223/2016 dated 04.07.2016 registered at PS.: Karawal Nagar under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the IPC and *Section 4* of the DP Act and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

7. Accordingly, the present, alongwith the pending application, petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 23, 2026/Ab