



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010110262026

+ **CRL.M.C. 2121/2026, CRL.M.A. 8745/2026**

PAVAN KUMAR MEENA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anubhav Mehrotra and Mr.
Sanjeev Malik, Advs. alongwith
petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the
State with Insp. Sunil Kumar
Mr. Apoorv Malik, Adv. for R-2 &
3 alongwith R-2 & 3 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

25.08.2026

%

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (**BNSS**), the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.39/2016 dated 05.04.2016 registered at PS.: EOW, Delhi under *Sections 468/471* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (**IPC**) read with *Section 67* Information Technology Act, 2000 (**IT Act**) and all proceedings emanating therefrom as the petitioner and respondent nos.2 and 3 have mutually settled all their disputes *vide* Compromise Deed dated 27.07.2026.

2. Issue notice.

3. Learned APP accepts notice and submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent nos.2 and 3 also accepts notice.



5. This Court has further had the occasion of interacting with the petitioner as well as the respondent nos.2 and 3, who all are present in Court. They submit that they have no objection if the present petition is allowed as they do not wish to continue with the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner.

6. The petitioner and the respondent nos.2 and 3, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been duly identified by the IO.

7. As noted above, a settlement based on mutual terms between the petitioner and the respondent nos.2 and 3 is the basis of filing the present petition, which is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s) *qua* the said effect. In view thereof, the present is a fit case for quashing the aforesaid FIR. Accordingly, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jitendra Raghuwanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr.: (2013) 4 SCC 58*, *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2012) 10 SCC 303* and *Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.: (2014) 6 SCC 466*, and also since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the present FIR will be an exercise in futility.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.39/2016 dated 05.04.2016 registered at PS.: EOW, Delhi under *Sections 468/471* of the IPC read with *Section 67* of the IT Act and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. As such, the present petition alongwith the pending application is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

AUGUST 25, 2026/rr