



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3674/2026**

ASESH KUMAR MAITRA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. S.K. Bhaduri & Ms. Neetu Gupta,**
Advocates.

versus

MCD

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Harshit Chopra, Standing**
Counsel for MCD with **Ms. Nidhi**
Mutreja & Mr. Khushal Chand
Aggarwal, Advocates for MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **17.07.2026**

1. Status report has been filed on behalf of the respondent/MCD. However, the same is not on record.

1.1. A copy of the status report has been handed over in Court today. The same is taken on record.

2. In the status report, it is stated that an Invalid Notice was issued by the respondent/MCD to the petitioner on 5th May, 2025. One of the objections raised by MCD was with regard to the ownership documents, including the Conveyance Deed/Lease Deed in favour of the petitioner. Since the petitioner did not remove the objection within the prescribed time limit of thirty (30) days, the application of the petitioner automatically stands rejected.

3. From the documents on record, it appears that there is an ongoing



litigation between the petitioner and DDA.

4. In these circumstances, it is deemed appropriate that DDA is impleaded as a respondent in the present petition.
5. Let an amended memo of parties be filed within two (2) days.
6. Let notice be issued to the newly impleaded respondent/DDA, through Standing Counsel, DDA.
7. List on 14th October, 2026.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JULY 17, 2026

at