



\$~88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2127/2026**

SHWETA GUPTA & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Humraz Bir Singh and Mr. G.
Singh Pantalia, Advocates.**

versus

M/S CANIXA LIFE SCIENCES PVT LTD

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **23.03.2026**

CRL.M.A. 8781/2026 (exemption)

CRL.M.A. 8783/2026 (exemption)

Exemptions granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The applications stand disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2127/2026

CRL.M.A. 8782/2026 (stay)

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners impugn order dated 11.09.2025 passed by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate (MCD), East District, Karkardooma District Courts, Delhi, whereby the learned Magistrate has directed the issuance of Non-Bailable Warrants ('NBWs') against the petitioner premised on the view that the petitioners had evaded service of summons as well as of bailable warrants.



2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits, that at the time when the petitioners had dealt with the respondent, they were operating from a rented premises situate in Noida, Uttar Pradesh, as indicated in Ground 'C' to the present petition; and that they had vacated the said premises prior to the time when service of the summons was attempted.
3. Learned counsel draws attention to what has been observed in impugned order dated 11.09.2025, to point-out that the order reads that the bailable warrants issued to the petitioners were "*received back unexecuted with report that accused is not found at the address and address left*".
4. Learned counsel submits, that though the impugned order itself records that the petitioners had left the said address, and were therefore not found there, which was the reason the bailable warrants were not executed yet that has been taken by the learned trial court as the petitioners having evaded service of bailable warrants. Learned counsel submits, that the petitioners are ready and willing to appear before the learned Magistrate on 29.05.2026, which is the next date before that court.
5. Learned counsel submits, that in-fact the petitioners are willing to explore the possibility of an amicable settlement with the respondent/complainant.
6. In these circumstances, counsel submits, that issuance of NBWs was not called-for.
7. Issue notice.



8. Upon the petitioners taking requisite steps, let notice be sent to the respondent by all permissible modes, returnable for the next date.
9. In view of the submissions made, it is not considered necessary to call-for a reply/counter-affidavit *at this stage*.
10. On a *prima-facie* view of the matter, and in view of the submissions made, and what has come to be observed in impugned order dated 11.09.2025, it is directed that *no coercive steps* shall be taken against the petitioners pursuant to any NBWs issued in furtherance of order dated 11.09.2025, till the next date of hearing before this court.
11. Re-notify on 17th August 2026.
12. In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to appear before the learned Magistrate on the next date of hearing *i.e.*, 29.05.2026.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

MARCH 23, 2026

V.Rawat