



\$~11 to 14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1495/2019**

DINESH KUMAR Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kapil Sankhla, Advocate. (M:
9999229460)

versus

SMT. VIDYA DEVI AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Ms. Akanksha Kaul, with Mr. Manek
Singh, Advocates for R-1.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, son of R-2.
Ms. Saumya Tandon for R-4.

WITH

+ **W.P.(C) 1496/2019 & CM APPL. 6935/2019**

DINESH KUMAR Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kapil Sankhla, Advocate. (M:
9999229460)

versus

SMT. VIDYA DEVI AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Ms. Akanksha Kaul, with Mr. Manek
Singh, Advocates for R-1.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, son of R-2.
Ms. Saumya Tandon for R-4.

WITH

+ **W.P.(C) 1529/2019**

SH. SUDHIR KUMAR Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kapil Sankhla, Advocate. (M:
9999229460).

versus

SMT. VIDYA DEVI AND ORS. Respondents

Through: Ms. Akanksha Kaul, with Mr. Manek
Singh, Advocates for R-1.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, son of R-2.
Ms. Saumya Tandon for R-4.

AND

+ **W.P.(C) 1531/2019 & CM APPL. 7085/2019**



SH. SUDHIR KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kapil Sankhla, Advocate. (M:
9999229460)

versus

SMT. VIDYA DEVI AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Akanksha Kaul, with Mr. Manek
Singh, Advocates for R-1.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, son of R-2.
Ms. Saumya Tandon for R-4.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

% **27.01.2021**

1. This hearing has been done in hybrid mode (physical and virtual).
2. Ld. counsel for the parties submit that they have filed the written synopsis. Ms. Kaul, ld. counsel for the Respondents submits that she represents Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and during the pendency of the proceedings, Respondent No. 2, who is the husband of Respondent No.1, has passed away. She submits that she does not appear for the legal heirs of Respondent No.2 in the application moved by the Petitioner under Order XXII Rule 4 CPC and the appearance is wrongly marked in the last order.
3. All legal heirs of Respondent no.2 who are already parties in the present petition, are duly represented except two sons. One of the sons, Mr. Sandeep, has joined through video conferencing and submits that he supports the case of his mother i.e., Respondent No. 1. The other son shall join the proceedings through video conferencing on the next date. Intimation be given by ld. Counsel for respondent no.1.
4. List this matter for final hearing on 8th April, 2021 at the end of board.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

JANUARY 27, 2021/mw/T