



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2165/2023**

PARAKH SADH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Ms. Sunita
Bhardwaj, Advs.

versus

SONALI SADH

..... Respondent

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

28.03.2023

%

CRL.M.A. 8169/2023

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 2165/2023

This is a petition seeking to set aside the impugned order dated 03.01.2023 passed by Principal District and Sessions Judge, East District, Karkardooma Courts in CA No. 167/2022, CIS No. DLET01-010523-2022 as well as the order of 23.08.2021 passed by learned MM, Mahila Court-01.

It is stated by Mr. Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner that both the Courts have failed to appreciate the 3 bank statements as well as ITRs of the petitioner. The same show that the petitioner at best would be earning Rs. 12,000/- per month.

On the contrary, the respondent has entries in her bank accounts which she has not been able to satisfactorily explain showing her income to



be Rs. 30,000/- to Rs. 80,000/- per month.

For the said reasons, issue notice on the appellant taking steps within 1 week from today, returnable on 17.04.2023.

CRL.M.A. 8170/2023

For the reasons stated above, issue notice.

Since a date is being prior to 26.04.2023 i.e. the date in the Trial Court, no interim orders are being passed as of today.

List on 17.04.2023.

JASMEET SINGH, J

MARCH 28, 2023/dm

Click here to check corrigendum, if any