



\$~4

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

% Date of Decision: 25th August, 2026

CNR No. DLHC010105872026

+ CONT.CAS(C) 450/2026 & CM APPL. 17385/2026

DEEPA BHURE & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Sunita Maan, Adv.
M: 9999187238

versus

JAI KISHAN & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Saroj Bala, Adv. for R-1 & 2
Mr. J.K. Tripathi, SPC with ASI
Neeraj for Delhi Police

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

MINI PUSHKARNA, J. (ORAL):

1. The present petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the settlement arrived at between the parties *vide* Settlement Agreement dated 31st January, 2019, as incorporated in the judgment dated 15th February, 2019 passed by this Court in *CS(OS) 3324/2014*.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the dispute *qua* property which has fallen in favour of the respondents in terms of paragraph H of the Settlement Agreement, viz., *Plot No. 195, Sector 47, Huda Haryana*, situated at Gurugram, Haryana, already stands settled, and



4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners draws the attention of this Court to the said Settlement Agreement to submit that the property as mentioned in paragraph A of the said Settlement Agreement has fallen to the share of the petitioners. Paragraph A is reproduced as under:

A. It has been agreed and undertaken by the party of the second and third part that they will transfer 1900 Sq. Yards in total property (Post Office Wali Property) consisting in Khasra No. 21/11/1 and 21/20/1 in favour of the party of the first part which is shown in RED colour in the site plan annexed herewith as **ANNEXURE-A.**

7. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has drawn the attention of this Court to the photographs attached with the present petition to submit that the boundary wall earlier sought to be constructed by the petitioners in the portion in the aforesaid property in Sangam Vihar,



Delhi, which is already in possession of the petitioners, was not allowed by the respondents, and disturbance was created therein. She further submits that the boundary wall was broken by the respondents.

8. In response, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the respondents have no objection if the boundary wall is constructed by the petitioners in the portion already under their possession in the Sangam Vihar property.

9. Accordingly, it is directed that the respondents shall not create any hindrance in the construction of the boundary wall around the portion which is in possession of the petitioners in the said property.

10. This Court also takes note of the submission made by learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that the aforesaid property in Sangam Vihar was earlier an agricultural land and is now urbanized. She, thus, submits that mutation or transfer of the said property is not open at the moment. She submits that as and when mutation of the aforesaid property is allowed by the statutory authorities, the respondents ought to cooperate in transferring the mutation of the said portion in the property situated in Sangam Vihar in favour of the petitioners herein.

11. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents assures this Court that as and when such need arises, the respondents shall duly cooperate with the petitioners in getting the said portion in the property situated in Sangam Vihar mutated in favour of the petitioners.

12. The respondents are held bound by the aforesaid undertaking, and it is directed that they shall duly cooperate with the petitioners in getting the mutation of the said portion in the property in question in favour of the petitioners.



13. No further orders are required to be passed in the present petition.
14. The present petition is accordingly disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

AUGUST 25, 2026/KR