



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 157/2024, CM APPL. 13241/2024 (for additional evidence), CM APPL. 13243/2024 (for staying the operation of the impugned Judgment), CM APPL. 19420/2026 (for impleadment)

SAVITRI DEVI JAIN THROUGH HER LR MRS. SULAKSHNA
JAINAppellant

Through: Mr. J.K. Srivastava, Ms. Taruna, Mr.
Deepak Kashyap, Advocates.

versus

SUSHMA JAINRespondent

Through: Mr. Surender Singh and Mr.
Himanshu Singhroha, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

15.04.2026

1. Written Submissions filed on behalf of the Respondent, has been returned under objection.
2. The Application bearing CM APPL. 19420/2026 under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC has been filed by Mr. Jitender Jain for impleadment. No Notice was issued to the Appellant or the Respondent since no PF was filed.
3. Both the parties seek time to file the Reply to the Application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC.
4. It is submitted on behalf of both the counsel that a similar Application had been filed during the trial but was dismissed and against which, no challenge was made. This Application is again being filed on behalf of the Appellant.



5. Reply be filed along with the Written Submissions, failing which, the same shall be accepted subject to cost of Rs.25,000/-, to be deposited with the Delhi High Court Advocates' Welfare Trust.
6. Be listed for arguments on the Appeal, as well as, on the Application on 27.10.2026.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

APRIL 15, 2026/RS