



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM APPL.53088/2023 in**
RC.REV. 89/2023

SH.GOPAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Mr. Omkar
Sharma and Mr. Mohit Sharma,
Advocates

versus

SMT. OM WATI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. O.P. Aggarwal, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

24.05.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL.53088/2023 (fixation of use and occupation charges)

1. Adjournment requested by counsel for petitioner on the ground that arguing counsel is suffering with fever. Adjournment request is opposed. By detailed order dated 03.01.2024 learned predecessor bench extensively discussed the status of subject premises for the purposes of estimation of use and occupation charges but thereafter adjourned the matter to be placed before the roster bench since a clarification of the size of the subject premises was required to be given by the parties. Learned counsel for respondent submits that he had disclosed the size. But the observations of the learned predecessor bench in that regard cannot be ignored.



2. Keeping in mind the illness of arguing counsel for petitioner, adjournment is allowed.
3. Relist on 13.09.2024.

MAY 24, 2024/_{rk}

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

Click here to check corrigendum, if any