



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 89/2023 & CM Appl.14314/2023**

SH.GOPAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

versus

SMT. OM WATI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr O.P. Aggarwal, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

04.05.2023

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. After some arguments, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner, Mr Abhishek Kumar submits that he would like to return with instructions *qua* payment of user charges for the tenanted premises at market rate.
2. Mr O.P. Aggarwal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent submits that since the filing of the Eviction Petition in July, 2014, no rent has been paid by the Petitioner. He further submits that, at present, similarly situated shops are being let out at the rate of approximately Rs.25,000/- per month. He seeks leave to place on record lease deeds in respect of the similar shops.

RC.REV. 89/2023

page 1 of 2



3. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner will also place lease deeds which he wishes to rely upon in this regard for affixation of user and occupation charges from the date of passing of the Eviction Order.
4. List the matter on 31.05.2023.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MAY 4, 2023/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

RC.REV. 89/2023

page 2 of 2