



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 30/2024**

MS S K EDUCATIONS PVT LTDPlaintiff

Through: Ms. Aarzoo Aneja and Mr.
Vishesh Kumar, Adv.

versus

RAJENDRA PATEL & ANR.Defendants

Through: Mr. Vikas Upadhyay, Mr.
Ranveer Singh and Mr.
Shiva Narang, Adv. for
D-1.

CORAM:

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

19.05.2025

%

The written statement of defendant no. 1 is taken on record today. The replication is already on record. The pleadings qua defendant no. 1 are thus complete.

The affidavit filed vide diary no. 395696/2024 shows that the defendant no. 2 had refused the summons on 05.02.2024, which were sent via speed post. Accordingly this defendant is deemed to be served on 05.02.2024. It has not filed any written statement till date despite the lapse of the stipulated period, whereby its right in respect thereof is closed.

The contesting parties shall now file the photocopies of their admitted-documents, if not already filed and the joint schedule of the documents.

List the matter for admission-denial and marking of exhibits on **01.08.2025**.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 21/05/2025 at 13:42:28

I.A. No. 12639/2025 (u/O XI R 12 & 14 of CPC r/w Section 151



seeking discovery and production of documents from defendants)

I.A. 12682/2025 (u/O XI R 12 & 14 of CPC as amended by Commercial Courts (amendment) Act, 2018 r/w Section 151 seeking discovery and production of documents from defendants)

Issue notice to the contesting defendant no. 1. Accepted.

The defendant no. 1 may now file the reply(s) within four weeks, whereafter the rejoinder(s) be filed in the next four weeks.

I.A. No. 41372/2024 (by defendant no. 1 u/S 5 of the Limitation Act & Order 8 Rule 1 r/w Section 151 CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement)

The application is being taken up on the joint request of the plaintiff and defendant no. 1. The plaintiff has already filed the reply. The defendant no. 1 does not wish to file any rejoinder.

In view of the contents of the application, the same is allowed and the delay in the written statement of defendant no. 1 is condoned.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 19, 2025/NG

Click here to check corrigendum, if any