



\$~93

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 194/2025
VATEENA BEGUMAppellant

Through: Mr. Md. Azam Ansari, Advocate with
appellant (in-person).

versus

SHAMIM ZAFAR & ORS.Respondents

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

26.08.2025

%

At the outset, it is noticed that in order dated 04.08.2025, passed by this Bench, by inadvertent omission the word '(((CHECK)))' has appeared in the first line of the main body of the order; which is required to be deleted.

This word was inserted in the draft order to check the number of the application concerned, which was found to be correct.

Accordingly, the word '(((CHECK)))' appearing in the first line of the order be treated as deleted.

A copy of this order be made available with every certified copy of order dated 04.08.2025 issued by the Registry.

CM APPL. 52964/2025 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The application stands disposed-of.



CM APPL. 52963/2025

By way of the present application filed under section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908 ('CPC'), the appellant seeks a direction to initiate an inquiry "*as to which counsel appeared on behalf of the appellant on 24.07.2025 and the matter was secretly mentioned by Mr. Shekhar Prit Jha, Advocate and also pass appropriate direction of protection so that the case of the poor appellant is not spoiled ever in future as attempted to be spoiled by Mr. Shekar Prit Jha, Advocate, as explained in this application, and also to take appropriate action against Mr. Shekhar Prit Jha, Advocate for making patently false submissions on last date as recorded in order dt 04. 8.2025....*"

2. The aforesaid issue was raised by Mr. Azam Ansari, learned counsel appearing for the appellant on the last date as well, and the matter was closed in the terms recorded in order dated 04.08.2025, the relevant portion of which reads as follows:

"2. Mr. Azam Ansari, learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits, that contrary to what has come to be recorded in the said order, at the time when the matter was called-out/mentioned, he was not present in court, and therefore he could not have accepted notice on the application.

"3. On the other hand, Mr. Shekhar Prit Jha, learned counsel appearing for respondents Nos. 1 and 2 submits, that when the matter was called-out on mentioning, counsel were present on behalf of Mr. Azam Ansari. It is also pointed-out, that as per Mr. Ansari himself, as stated in the present application, he had given his appearance to the Court Master after having confirmed from the Court Master that the matter had been adjourned on being mentioned.



“5. Be that as it may, without dwelling further into this issue, when it is put to Mr. Ansari whether he wishes to file a reply to oppose CM APPL. No.25742/2025, he has answered in the affirmative.

6. Accordingly, Mr. Ansari (now) accepts notice; and seeks time to file reply to CM APPL. No. 25742/2025.”

(emphasis supplied)

3. Accordingly, in the opinion of this court, the present application is a needless attempt on the part of the appellant to re-agitate an issue, which arose since Mr. Azam Ansari had himself given his appearance slip in court, which is how his appearance came to be recorded in the order.
4. Accordingly, the present application is dismissed, cautioning the appellant against filing such frivolous applications.

CM APPL. 52962/2025

5. By way of the present application filed under section 151 CPC, the appellant seeks a direction to expunge certain portions of order dated 21.03.2025. The prayer amounts to seeking review/modification of the order.
6. The said order was passed by the Predecessor Bench of Anish Dayal, J.
7. In view of Practice Directions dated 27.05.2025, subject to orders of Hon’ble the Chief Justice, list before the Bench of Anish Dayal, J. on 29th August 2025.



8. The date of 14th October 2025 before the learned Joint Registrar, stands cancelled.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

AUGUST 26, 2025

SS