



\$~125

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 194/2025

VATEENA BEGUM

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Azam Ansari and Mr. Dilshad
Ahmed, Advocates.

versus

SHAMIM ZAFAR & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shekhar Prit Jha with Mr. S. S.
Haider, Advocates for R-1 and 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

04.08.2025

CM APPL. 47304/2025

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

Let requisite compliances be made within 01 week.

The application stands disposed of.

CM APPL. 47302/2025

**CM APPL. 47303/2025 (for dismissal of CM APPL. No.25742/2025 with
cost)**

By way of application CM APPL. 47302/2025 (((CHECK))) filed under section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure 1908, the appellant/applicant seeks correction/modification of last order dated 24.07.2025, whereby notice was issued on CM APPL. No.25742/2025 by which (latter application) the respondents have sought leave to file cross-objections in the present appeal.



2. Mr. Azam Ansari, learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits, that contrary to what has come to be recorded in the said order, at the time when the matter was called-out/mentioned, he was not present in court, and therefore he could not have accepted notice on the application.
3. On the other hand, Mr. Shekhar Prit Jha, learned counsel appearing for respondents Nos. 1 and 2 submits, that when the matter was called-out on mentioning, counsel were present on behalf of Mr. Azam Ansari. It is also pointed-out, that as per Mr. Ansari himself, as stated in the present application, he had given his appearance to the Court Master after having confirmed from the Court Master that the matter had been adjourned on being mentioned.
4. Mr. Jha has also drawn attention of this court to what has been recorded by the Predecessor Bench in order dated 21.03.2025 in relation to Mr. Ansari's conduct. Mr. Ansari submits, that he has carried-up order dated 21.03.2025 to the Supreme Court, for expunging the observations made against him.
5. Be that as it may, without dwelling further into this issue, when it is put to Mr. Ansari whether he wishes to file a reply to oppose CM APPL. No.25742/2025, he has answered in the affirmative.
6. Accordingly, Mr. Ansari (now) accepts notice; and seeks time to file reply to CM APPL. No. 25742/2025.
7. Mr. Jha submits that insofar as the other respondents are concerned, they were never parties to the suit nor to the execution proceedings and therefore no notice is required to be issued to them. Though, Mr. Ansari disputes this submission, the submission made by Mr. Jha is



noted.

8. In view of the above, applications bearing CM APPL. 47302/2025 and CM APPL. 47303/2025 stand disposed-of.

CM APPL. No.25742/2025

9. Since notice on this application has now been accepted by Mr. Ansari, who proposes to file a reply to this application, the appellant's assertions in relation to the contents of this application may be taken in the reply to be filed.
10. Let reply to CM APPL. No.25742/2025 be filed within 04 weeks; rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within 03 weeks thereafter; with copies to the opposing counsel.
11. Re-notify on 14th October 2025 before the learned Joint Registrar for completion of pleadings in CM APPL. No.25742/2025.
12. The date of 05.08.2025 before the learned Joint-Registrar stands cancelled.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

AUGUST 4, 2025

ds