



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3120/2024**

RAJBIR SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Tyagi and Mr. Hem
Chandra Sharma, Advocates

versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajiv Kapur, Mr. Akshit Kapur,
Mr. Aditiya Kapur and Ms. Riya
Sood, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

%

04.03.2024

(The proceeding has been conducted through Hybrid Mode)

1. This is a writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India,
1950 seeking, *inter alia* the following prayers:-

*“(i) Quashing the Order dated 18.6.2022, issued by the
Respondent Bank (Annexure-P/10).*

*(ii) Directing the Respondent Bank to compute and pay the dues
of Halting Allowance to the Petitioner from 11.3.2014 to
8.6.2020, during his overstayed deputation at Delhi;*

*(iii) Directing payment of Interest on the aforesaid dues till date
and pendentel interest @12% pa;*

*(iv) Pass any other or further order or direction which the
Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and*



circumstances of the case.”

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was an employee of the respondent at Ambala and was sent on deputation to Delhi from 11.03.2014 onwards. According to learned counsel, the petitioner was on deputation continuously upto 11.06.2020 and all throughout the period the petitioner was entitled to halting allowance at various rates. He submits that petitioner was never granted this, despite being legally entitled to, as per rules.
3. He submits that a number of representations were submitted and finally by order dated 18.06.2022, the said claim was rejected.
4. Issue notice.
5. Notice accepted by Mr. Rajiv Kapur, learned counsel for the respondent who seeks and is granted a short accommodation to take proper instructions in this regard.
6. List again on 21.03.2024 in Supplementary List.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

MARCH 4, 2024

Aj