



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 515/2004

UOI THRU DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENTAppellant

Through: Mr. Annirudh Sharma, Adv. (through
VC), Mr. Ankit Swami, Adv.

versus

M/S UNITED BREWERIES LTD. & ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Ajay Bhargava, Mr.
Shabyashachi P., Mr. Ratnil Chauhan,
Mr. Vedant Sharma, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIMAL KUMAR YADAV

ORDER

% **09.07.2026**

1. Hybrid mode.

**CRL.M.A. 19712/2026 (seeking withdrawal of the vakalatnama and
discharge from appearing on behalf of respondent no. 2.)**

2. Learned counsel for the Appellant did not opt to file any reply to application of discharge on behalf of Respondent No. 2 except pointing out the Delhi High Court Rules on the subject, i.e. Chapter V.

3. Learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2, on the other hand, relied upon two orders of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and one of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court to emphasise that in view of these peculiar circumstances, the Advocate cannot be compelled to represent somebody who is not coming forward to give instructions.

4. However, the matter adjourned to 18.08.2026 for disposal of the



application and in the meantime, learned counsel for the Respondent No. 2 shall have the liberty to take requisite steps if any in compliance of the relevant rules on the subject by Bar Council or any other statutory body or Rules.

VIMAL KUMAR YADAV, J

JULY 9, 2026/ps