



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1949/2026, CRL.M.A. 8006/2026

MR DINESH AND ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ravi Kumar, Mr. Jitendra, Mr. Pradeep Kumar Gautam and Ms. Priyanka, Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI THROUGH SHO PS MANGOL PURI DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State Mr. Ravi, Advocate for R-2 with R-2 present in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

24.03.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.818/2022 dated 29.06.2022 registered at PS: Mangol Puri under *Sections 498A/406/34* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*IPC*) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement Deed dated 17.03.2025 [*Annexure B (Colly)*] arrived between the petitioners and the respondent no.2 before the Counselling Cell, North-West District, Rohini Courts, Delhi, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.



4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Deed dated 17.03.2025, whereby the petitioners have already paid her a sum of Rs.2,50,000/- out of the total settlement amount of Rs.3,50,000/- and a Demand Draft dated 23.03.2026 bearing No.601220 of Rs.1,00,000/- (Yes Bank, Branch- Yes Bank House, Off Western Express Highway, Santacruz (E), Mumbai-400055) has been handed over in Court to her today as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. Respondent no.2 further submits that her marriage with the petitioner no.1 has since been dissolved by mutual consent under *Section 13B(2)* of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1995 *vide* Decree dated 13.08.2025, and she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the parties and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s). In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.818/2022



dated 29.06.2022 registered at PS: Mangol Puri under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.

8. As such, the present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 24, 2026/So