



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 1943/2023**

ANITA KHANNA & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Neelmani Guha,
Mr. Vaibhav Dabas and Mr. Vikas
Tiwari, Advocates.

versus

NAVIN JOSHI (C/O)

M/S RAJWARAH JEWELLERS PVT. LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Lakshay Laroiya, Advocate for
respondents no. 1 and 2.
SI Pankajj, PS – G.K.-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

ORDER

%

11.09.2025

CRL.M.A. 27150/2025

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed.

CRL.M.A. 27149/2025

The instant application has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 2
seeking permission to place on record certain additional documents.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed.

Additional documents are taken on record.

The application stands disposed of.



CRL.M.C. 1943/2023

1. Learned APP seeks time to get instructions qua the additional documents.
2. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner also submits that petitioner has filed another application which is under objection in the registry. Same is also likely to be listed in due course. Be that as it may, he also makes a suggestion that, otherwise, he is ready to finally argue the matter today itself. Accordingly, it is rather deemed more appropriate that instead of entertaining the piece meal applications the main case itself be heard finally.
3. However, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the main case is already listed on 09.10.2025 and it can be argued on the said date.
4. Meanwhile, I.O., who is present in Court, on a Court query put to him whether any questionnaire has been served on the petitioners in terms of order dated 02.09.2025, it transpires that the same is under preparation and the needful shall be done in due course. Being so, I am of the view that while on one hand, since the petitioners have been directed to join the investigation in terms of order dated 02.09.2025 *ibid* and at the same time, it would be, therefore, highly inappropriate to simultaneously continue PO proceedings against them as is being done before the Trial Court.
5. Accordingly, it is made clear that the I.O. shall not take any precipitative steps to declare the petitioners as P.O. until the needful is done in terms of order dated 02.09.2025.
6. In view of the aforesaid, it would be appreciated of the learned Trial Court that since the matter has now already been fixed before this Court for



final arguments on the quashing petition, as one time measure, it shall adjourn the pending proceeding before it, beyond the date fixed in this Court.

7. Accordingly, list on the date already fixed i.e. 09.10.2025.

ARUN MONGA, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2025/nk