



\$~7

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 134/2022

SEEMA KHANNA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Pawan Mathur, Adv.
along with plaintiff in
person

versus

UNION BANK OF INDIA & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Arun Kumar Shukla
and Mr. Naman Shukla,
Adv. for D-1 & 2 along
with Mr. Naveen Tilwani,
Chief Manager, Union
Bank of India
None for D-3 & 4

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SHUCHI
LALER (DHJS)**

ORDER

09.09.2024

%

IA No 8855/2022 u/O VII R.11 by defendant nos. 1 & 2

1. Pleadings are complete.

**IA No. 3729/2022 u/o XXXIX R.1 & 2 CPC by
plaintiff**

2. Pleadings are complete.

IA No. 7141/2022 u/O I R.10 CPC by defendant no.4

3. Learned counsel for plaintiff has already submitted
that he does not wish to file reply and will address
arguments straightaway. None appears for defendant nos. 3
& 4 today. Matter is adjourned.

4. List for arguments on the next date.

**IA No. 7636/2022 u/s 151 CPC by plaintiff seeking
directions against defendant no.3**

5. Pleadings are complete.



CS(OS) 134/2022

6. Pleading qua defendant nos. 3 & 4 are complete. Written statement has been filed by defendant nos.1 & 2. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that defendant nos. 1 & 2 had re-filed certain documents, which were filed along with the written statement and changes were made in the initial list of documents, however the amended list of documents was not supplied to him. Learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 and 2 submits that he will check it with his office and in case it has not been supplied, he will supply again.

CCP (O) 5/2024 by plaintiff

7. As per office note, compliance affidavit and short affidavit filed by defendant nos.1 & 2. Learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 & 2 submits that he has brought the original documents today. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that he will inspect the original documents and will, thereafter, revert back. Matter will be again taken up at 1 pm.

**SHUCHI LALER (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

At 1.10 pm

8. At this stage, learned counsel for plaintiff submits that he has seen the originals of document nos. 1 to 34 and also of document nos. 36 & 37, however, the original of document no. 35, which was shown to him, does not bear the words “on 20.04.2019” which is so mentioned in the photocopy of document no.35 filed along with the short



affidavit.

9. Learned counsel for defendant nos.1 & 2 submits that document no.35 is a computer generated document and it cannot be different from its original and he can produce the original of document no.35 on the next date.

10. Learned counsel for plaintiff vehemently urges that the original of document no.35 was shown to him today, which did not bear any date of nomination. It has been denied by learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 and 2, who submits that the defendant has not brought the original of document no.35 today. Learned counsel for plaintiff further submits that certain documents filed along with the short affidavit are not legible and are not complete.

11. Learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 & 2 submits that a coloured copy of the said documents will be filed on record within a week. Same be filed within a week. Rejoinder be filed before the next date.

12. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings on 14.10.2024.

**SHUCHI LALER (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
SEPTEMBER 9, 2024/cd**

Click here to check corrigendum, if any