



\$~22

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 179/2014, I.A. 24061/2023, I.A. 20973/2025, I.A. 925/2026**

SURINDER SINGH REKHIPlaintiff

Through: **Mr. Aman Bhardwaj, Adv.**

versus

DR. T.S. REKHI & ORSDefendants

Through: **Ms. Babita Seth, Adv. for D-2(a) & (b).**

Ms. Abhilasha Nautiyal, Adv. for D-7.

Mr. Siddharth Khattar, Mr. Divij Andley and Mr. Gaurav Raj Sharma, Advs. for D-8(b).

Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw and Ms. Shruti Kapur, Advs. for D-8(c) & (e) along with D-8(c) in person.

Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj and Ms. Rukhmini Bobde, CGSCs with Ms. Aishwarya Nigam, Mr. Kushagra Kumar and Mr. Amit Rana, Advs. for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

09.03.2026

I.A. 24013/2025 (by defendant no.7 under Order XXXIX Rule 4 read with Section 151 CPC)

1. After some arguments, Ms. Abhilasha Nautiyal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/defendant no.7 prays for time to seek instructions from her client.



2. At request, re-notify on 22.07.2026.

I.A. 3109/2026 [by defendant nos.2(a) & (b) under Section 151 CPC]

3. The prayer in the present application has been made to issue appropriate directions, but what are the appropriate directions that needs to be passed have not been spelt out.

4. On a query posed by the Court as to what is the exact prayer in the present application, Ms. Babita Seth, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants/defendant nos.2(a) and (b) has invited attention of the Court to para 36 of the application, which reads thus:

“36. That in the situation the said registered documents are likely to be cancelled being in direct violation of the orders dated 25/ 10/2024, 17/02/2025, 24/03/2025 and 22/08/2025 passed by Hon'ble Court.”

5. This Court is of the view that cancellation of registered documents, as mentioned in para 36 of the application, cannot be sought by way of an application. For that substantive prayer has to be made in the suit.

6. The application is, therefore, misconceived and devoid of merit.

7. Accordingly, the application is dismissed.

I.A. 3097/2026 [by defendant nos.2(a) & (b) under Section 151 CPC]

8. The present application has been filed seeking staying of the proceedings before the learned Local Commissioner, who has been appointed by this Court to record evidence.

9. Ms. Babita Seth clarifies that the prayer for stay in the present application was sought only during the pendency of I.A.No.3109/2026.

10. Since I.A.No.3109/2026 has been dismissed by this Court *vide* above order, nothing survives in the present application.



11. The application is, accordingly, dismissed.

I.A. 4558/2026 [by defendant nos.2(a) & (b) under Section 151 CPC]

12. Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw, learned counsel appearing on behalf of defendant nos.8(c) & (e) submits that he does not wish to file reply.

13. List before the learned Joint Registrar on 28.03.2026, the date already fixed.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

MARCH 9, 2026

aj