



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 74/2021, I.A. No. 2289/2021 (u/O-39 R-1 & 2 of CPC), I.A. No. 3508/2021 (u/O-1 R-10 of CPC), I.A. 4230/2021 (u/O-1 R-10(1) of CPC), I.A. No. 8789/2021 (of the defendant no. 1 u/S 151 of CPC), I.A. No. 8790/2021 (u/O-1 R-10 of CPC), I.A. No. 8796/2021 (of the defendant no. 2 u/O-8 R-1 of CPC), I.A. No. 8838/2021 (of the defendant no. 2 u/S 151 of CPC) & I.A. No. 8839/2021 (of the defendant no. 2 for exemption from filing notarized affidavits)

TEN EVENTS AND ENTERTAINMENT Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Abhishek Malhotra and Ms. Sanya Dua, Advocates.

versus

NOVEX COMMUNICATIONS
PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Chander Lall, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Jasdeep S Dhillon, Mr. Ananya Chugh and Mr. Akshay Sharma, Advocates for D-1.

Mr. Ankur Sangal, Ms. Sucheta Roy and Ms. Trisha Nag, Advocates for D-2.

Mr. Vivek Chib, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Kushal Gupta, Ms. Deepshikha Sarkar, Ms. Unnati Jhunhunwala and Ms. Suvarna, Advocates for D-3

Mr. Tejveer Singh Bhatia, Mr. Sudeep Chatterjee, Ms. Shifa Nagar and Mr. Kiratraj Sadana, Advocates for D-4.

Mr. Pallav Kumar, Ms. Dibya Nishant, Mr. Ryan Singh, Ms. Bitisha Singh, Ms. Garima Sharma, Advocates for the D-6.

Mr. Vikram Grover and Mr. Harish K. Chauhan, Advocates for D-7.



Ms. Sonal Gupta for Defendant No.9
and HAI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

16.02.2022

[VIA VIDEO CONFERENCING]

1. This matter has been released from part-heard by Yogesh Khanna, J. vide order dated 11th February, 2022.
2. Counsels for the parties state that the applications will have to be re-heard.
3. List for hearing on 18th April, 2022.

I.A. No. 6656/2021 (of defendant no. 6 u/O-I R-10(2) of CPC)

4. This application has been filed under Order I Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) on behalf of the applicant/defendant no. 6, who seeks deletion from the array of parties.
5. It is stated that the applicant/defendant no. 6 does not own the hotel "Andaz Delhi" in New Delhi as has been averred in paragraph 8 of the plaint. Therefore, the applicant/defendant no. 6 is not a necessary party and his name be deleted from the array of parties.
6. The application is not opposed by the counsels appearing on behalf of the plaintiff.
7. Accordingly, the application is allowed and the applicant/defendant no. 6 is deleted from the array of parties.
8. Let the amended memo of parties be filed within four weeks.

AMIT BANSAL, J

FEBRUARY 16, 2022/Sakshi R.