



\$~6 & 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 619/2022 & CM No.51942/2022**

GURUDWARA SHRI GUR SINGH SABHA REGD THROUGH
ITS GENERAL SECRETARY Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

SH RAVI PRAKASH SHARMA AND ORS Respondents
Through: Mr Kunal Mittal and Ms Rishika,
Advs. for R-1.

+ **LPA 161/2022, CM Nos.11162-63/2022, 12759/2022 & 19332/2022**

GURUDWARA SHRI GURU SINGH SABHA REGD.... Appellant

Through: None.

versus

RAVI PRAKASH SHARMA & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr Kunal Mittal and Ms Rishika,
Advs. for R-1.
Ms Manika Tripathy, Standing
Counsel with Ms Rony John and Ms
Latika Malhotra, Advs. for R-2/DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

24.01.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM No.11163/2022 in CONT.COS(C) 619/2022

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CONT.CAS(C) 619/2022 & LPA No.161/2022

page 1 of 2



CONT.CAS(C) 619/2022 & CM No.51942/2022
LPA 161/2022, CM Nos.11162/2022, 12759/2022 & 19332/2022

2. A request for accommodation is made on behalf of the petitioner in contempt case [i.e., CONT.CAS(C) 619/2022] and appellant in the appeal, i.e., [LPA No.161/2022] on the ground that Mr A.K. Tripathi, who is engaged in the matter, is not available today.

2.1 Ms Rishika, who appears on behalf of respondent no.1 in the above-captioned matters, says that she has no objection.

3. To be noted, there is no representation on behalf of respondent nos. 2 to 4 in CONT.CAS(C) 619/2022 and respondent no.3 in LPA No.161/2022.

4. Accordingly, list the above-captioned matters on 11.07.2024.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 24, 2024

aj

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)