



\$~26

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.M.C. 787/2010 & CRL.M.A. 2897/2010

DIRECTORATE OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCE

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. proxy counsel
(appearance not given) for
Satish Aggarwala, SSC
through V.C.

versus

DCP ASSOCIATES P.LTD. & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Naveen Malhotra &
Mr. Ritik Malhotra, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

02.12.2025

1. Yet again, adjournment is sought on behalf of the petitioner/department.
2. On the last date of hearing, that is, on 19.09.2025, this Court had noted the careless conduct of the department and had refrained from imposing cost while granting last opportunity to the department to come prepared with the matter.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner was not even able to inform the status of the proceedings before the Trial Court.
4. The petition was filed way back in the year 2010 by the petitioner department pursuant to the dismissal of its application under Section 311 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.
5. It has been 15 years since then and the status of the trial is still not known.
6. Another opportunity is granted to the petitioner to address arguments and file an updated status report on payment of cost of



₹20,000/- to the respondent.

7. List on 03.02.2026.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 2, 2025

“SK”