

\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 142/2014

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Jyoti Tyagi, Adv. for Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Adv.

versus

HOSHIYAR SINGH & ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Sandita Kanwar, proxy counsel for Mr. Kunal Sharma, Adv. for R-2/DDA.

CORAM:

MS. ANJU CHHABRA KHURANA, REGISTRAR (APPELLATE)

ORDER

%

24.05.2016

As per report of registry, notice could not be issued to respondent no. 1 as process fee filed was returned under office objections.

Counsel for the appellant seeks some more time to serve the said respondent. She further seeks some time to deposit the decretal amount in this case.

On the appellant taking steps within two weeks, issue notice to respondent no. 1, by ordinary process as well as by speed post, returnable on 15.09.2016.

Affidavit of service along with the tracking report of the postal authority be filed atleast a week in advance of the next date of hearing.

REGISTRAR

MAY 24, 2016/cl