



\$~6 and 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 419/2020, CRL.M.A. 14601/2022 & 4139/2023**

SAMITA BHARDWAJ

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Tanvir Ahmed Mir, with Dr. Atul Singh and Ms. Anushka, Adv.
(M:9818786161)

versus

THE STATE AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP.
Mr. N. Hariharan, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sudarshan Rajan, Mr. Rajat Agnihotri, Ms. Sana Singh, Mr. Sharian Mukherji & Mr. Kunal Mittal, Adv.
(M:9819794592)
Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv. (M: 9811098069)

7

AND

+

CRL.L.P. 20/2021

STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP.

versus

RAKESH @NANHA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. N. Hariharan, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sudarshan Rajan, Mr. Rajat Agnihotri, Ms. Sana Singh, Mr. Sharian Mukherji & Mr. Kunal Mittal, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Verma, Adv. (M: 9811098069)
Mr. Jitendra Sethi, Mr. Shobit Dimri
Mr. Sidhanth Mor, Adv. for R-2 &3.
(M:9899695422)
Mr. Ravinder Kumar Yadav, Ms. Arti Anupriya, Mr. Paras Juneja & Ms. Kritika Yadav, Adv. for R-6.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA



ORDER

% **10.09.2024**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The Court is inclined to first consider as to whether leave ought to be granted in petition being ***CRL.L.P. 20/2021***.
3. Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Id. APP for the State submits that he would, on the next date of hearing, place before this Court a detailed 5 page note showing the chain of events and the specific exhibits which implicate the Respondents.
4. Let the concerned Investigating Officer be present in Court on the next date of hearing to assist the Id. APP for the State.
5. After the said submissions are made by the Id. APP, then the *locus standi* of the Appellant in ***CRL.A. 419/2020*** shall be considered as to whether the appeal would be maintainable.
6. Id. Sr. Counsel appearing for the Respondents relies upon the decision of the Supreme Court in ***Govindaraju @ Govinda v. State by Srirampuram P.S. & Anr., 2012 4 SCC 722*** to argue that he would be objecting for leave being granted in ***CRL.L.P. 20/2021*** as there is nothing erroneous or perverse that can be pointed out by the State in the impugned judgment of the Trial Court.
7. If any of the Respondents wish to file any note of arguments on the next date of hearing they are free to do so.
8. List on 6th November, 2024.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

AMIT SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 10, 2024/dj/ms/sc