



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010079732026

+ **W.P.(C) 2913/2026**

EX RFN (ORL) SHABUDDIN KHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Avinash Singh Vishen and Mr.
Arjun Baliyan, Advs.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA THROUGH SECRETARY & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Balendu Shekhar, CGSC with
Mr. Rajkumar Maurya, GP with Mr.
Krishna Chaitanya and Mr. Divyansh
Singh Dev, Advs.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% 14.08.2026

REVIEW PET. 364/2026, CM APPL. 54014-54015/2026

1. This review petition is for review of the order dated 24.03.2026. We had dismissed the writ petition by stating as under: -

“4. Concedingly, the petition challenges the orders of dismissal dated 13.07.2000, 15.07.2000, and the rejection of the appeal dated 20.12.2000.

5. The only submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is seeking pensionary benefits, which is a recurring cause of action. We are unable to accept such a plea.

6. It is settled position of law that if an employee has been dismissed, he forfeits the past service and shall not be entitled to pension.



7. In the absence of explanation for the delay and laches, the petition challenging the above orders is liable to be dismissed. We order so.”

2. The only submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that as the request of the petitioner for the grant of pension was rejected in the year 2026, as such the writ petition was within time and not hit by delay and laches.

3. We are not impressed by the said submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner for the reason stated in paragraph 6 of the order dated 24.03.2026. In any case, the request for pension was rejected in the year 2026 on the basis of a representation made in the year 2025, which was also filed after almost 25 years from the year of dismissal.

4. Hence, the petition seeking pension in the year 2025/2026 that too without challenging the dismissal shall be hit by delay and laches. No review is required of the order dated 24.03.2026.

5. The review petition is dismissed. The pending applications are also dismissed, having become infructuous.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

AUGUST 14, 2026

rk