



\$~101

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 2083/2025 & CM APPL. 9789/2025**
VINEET

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yogesh Sharma and Ms. Pratima
Ravi, Advocates.

versus

SPECIAL TASK FORCE DDA AND ORS. & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, Standing
Counsel for MCD with Ms. Harhsita
Rai, Mr. Siddhartha Goswami and Ms.
Gunjan Rathore, Advocates.
Ms. Mrinalini Sen, Advocate for
DDA.
Mr. Raj Kumar Yadav, SPC with Ms.
Tripti Sinha and Mr. Vaibhav
Bhardwaj, Advocates for R-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
18.02.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after filing the present writ petition, the petitioner has filed a civil suit seeking declaration and injunction against the concerned owner/occupier, who is carrying the construction in question.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that in the present writ petition, the only grievance raised by the petitioner is with respect to the manner in which unauthorized construction is being done. He, in all fairness, submits that he is neither seeking any declaration nor claiming any right in the present writ petition as for establishing the same, he already filed a separate

W.P.(C) 2083/2025

1



civil suit.

3. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 appear on advance notice.
4. Let a short reply be filed by all three respondents.
5. In the interregnum, the petitioner is also directed to file an affidavit in terms of the directions contained in order dated 25.07.2024 passed by this Court in CONT.CAS(C) 1139/2024, in order to establish his bonafide and locus to file the present writ petition.
6. List on 22.05.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 18, 2025/ss