



\$~34 & 40.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA(COMM) 61/2024**

M/S TEJAYS INDUSTRIES PVT. LTD. Appellant
Through: Mr Vineet Bhagat, Advocate.

versus

M/S TECNIKA SOLUTIONS Respondent
Through:

+ **RFA(COMM) 63/2024**

M/S TEJAYS DYNAMIC LTD. Appellant
Through: Mr Vineet Bhagat, Advocate.

versus

M/S TECNIKA SOLUTIONS Respondent
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **21.03.2024**

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

C.M. No. 17760/2024 in RFA(COMM) 61/2024

C.M. No. 17899/2024 in RFA(COMM) 63/2024

1. These are applications filed on behalf of the applicant/ appellant seeking extension of time in depositing the decretal amount, as directed *via* order dated 20.02.2024.
2. *Via* the said order, we had granted four (04) weeks to deposit the decretal amount.

RFA(COMM) 61/2024 & RFA(COMM) 63/2024

Page 1 of 2



2.1 Notably, the timeframe for depositing the decretal amount was fixed in the presence of the counsel for the appellant.

3. However, having regard to the assertions made on behalf of the applicant/appellant, that it is in some financial difficulty, the timeframe for complying with the directions issued by the Court is extended by a further two (02) weeks.

3.1 The said period will commence from today.

4. The applications are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

5. Parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

MARCH 21, 2024/kd

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)