



\$~2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(CRL) 709/2026

POOJA BANSAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Adish C. Aggarwala, Sr.
Adv. with Mr.Rajiv Mohan and
Mr.Ayyam Perumal Karthik
M., Advs. along with the
petitioner in person (through
VC)

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr.Amol Sinha, ASC (Crl.)
with Mr.Kshitiz Garg,
Mr.Nitish Dhawan, Mrs.Chavi
Lazarus, Mr.Manan Wadhwa,
Advs. for State Insp. Rakesh
Kumar, P.S. Neb Sarai
R-6 along with Mrs.Kanta
Bansal in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

28.07.2026

%

1. The present Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking issuance of a Writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus, directing the respondents to produce Mrs.Kanta Bansal, the mother of the petitioner and the respondent no.6.



2. We had referred the parties to mediation, however, unfortunately, they could not settle their *inter se* disputes.

3. As far as this petition is concerned, we have interacted with Mrs.Kanta Bansal. She has apprised us that she is staying with the respondent no.6, who is looking after her interests and well-being. She has further stated that whenever the petitioner would visit India or if she is to travel to the petitioner, who is in the USA, the respondent no. 6 should not object to the same. She has also disowned the Affidavit dated 13.03.2026 which was filed in her name.

4. There is clearly a property dispute between the petitioner and the respondent no. 6, where, unfortunately, Mrs.Kanta Bansal is being dragged in, even at this age.

5. As far as the present petition is concerned, it is only limited to her well-being and safety, to which, from our interaction with her, we find no reason to suspect the respondent no. 6.

6. As far as the property dispute is concerned, it has to be resolved between the petitioner and the respondent no. 6, involving Mrs.Kanta Bansal, before a Court of competent jurisdiction. We would not like to comment on the same.

7. Similarly, the Affidavit dated 13.03.2026, which Mrs.Kanta Bansal has now disowned, cannot be taken cognizance of by us in the present petition, the same being beyond the scope of the present petition.

8. We would only implore the respondent no. 6 to allow Mrs.Kanta Bansal to meet the petitioner whenever she is in India and, in case Mrs.Kanta Bansal wishes to travel to the USA to meet the



petitioner, he would not create any obstacle in the same.

9. With the above observations, we dispose of the present petition.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

JULY 28, 2026/sg/as